

to District Funds and Village Panchayet Funds contained in Articles 366 to 375 of the Mysore Financial Code, Volume I, and Appendix XVII referred to thereunder, are deleted.

Necessary amendments to the Mysore Financial Code, Volume I, will issue separately.

N. MADHAVA RAO,
*Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.*

Issues certain instructions regarding verification of Proposition Statements by the Accountant General, Mysore.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. FL. (B). 10325-425—C.R. 19-53-2, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 4TH NOVEMBER 1953.

As per Article 154 of the Mysore Financial Code, Volume I, the proposition statement (in duplicate) on the proposal for revision should be forwarded to Government through the Accountant General, who will verify the present scale or state the present cost as the case may be, according to his audit register.

In future, verification of the proposition statement by the Accountant General will be confined to cases of very complicated establishments. The Accountant General will check only such proposition statements which have been forwarded to him for verification either by the Department or by Government in view of their complicated nature.

The work of verification of the correctness of the facts regarding the "present establishment" is the function of the executive authority, and if a sanctioning authority is to exercise its functions satisfactorily, he should maintain adequate records and statistics of his own sanctions and be in possession of a full picture of the present establishment.

In respect of proposition statements not sent to the Accountant General, Mysore, for his verification, they will be verified in the concerned Administrative Secretariats.

N. MADHAVA RAO,
*Secretary to Government
Finance Department.*

Revised rates of Centage Charges towards Establishment and Tools and Plant in respect of the works of several departments and also of the Local Bodies executed by the Public Works Department.

ORDER No. FL. (B) 10430-530—C.R. 20-53-1, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 4TH NOVEMBER 1953.

At present, centage charges towards Establishment and Tools and Plant in respect of the works of several departments and also of the Local Bodies executed by the Public Works Department are being recovered at different rates in respect of different departments or Local Bodies. While under the District Boards and Village Panchayet Act, Corporation Act and City Municipalities Act the charges leviable are those determined by Government, they are subject to a maximum of 3 per cent under the Town Municipalities Act.

It is considered desirable that there should be a uniform rate applicable to all the departments. It is, therefore, directed that in cases in which a Public Works Division executes works on behalf of Government and non-Governmental

institutions, the cost of which is met from sources other than grants from '50. Civil Works', recovery of the cost of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges should be effected in all cases on a percentage basis unless Government specifically direct otherwise. These should be levied separately for Establishment and Tools and Plant.

The rates fixed with effect from 1953-54 are :—

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| (a) Establishment | ... 15½ per cent. |
| (b) Tools and Plant | 1½ per cent. |

But in the case of works executed by the Public Works Department, on behalf of the marginally noted local bodies and institutions, a concession of 4½ per cent *in respect of Establishment charges only*, will be allowed and the actual Establishment and Tools and Plant charges payable will be 12½ per cent. This concession of 4½ per cent in the rates is, however, only a form of Grant-in-aid and the Public Works Department should receive the full credit for these charges.

Necessary amendments to the Mysore Public Works Account Code will issue in due course.

Orders relating to centage chargeable on works relating to Town Municipalities will issue separately.

N. MADHAVA RAO,
Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

Accords Sanction for the formation of a Treasury Cadre for the non-gazetted treasury staff in the State.

LEAD—

Government Order No. Fl.(B)3733-44—B. & T. 1-51-2, dated the 10th January 1952, according sanction for the separation of the Treasury Cadre and training of treasury staff, and calling for detailed proposals in this behalf.

2. D. O. letter No. C.10-479—51-52, dated the 29th October 1952, from the Revenue Commissioner, furnishing his remarks on the proposals for the formation of a separate cadre for the staff of the State Treasuries.

3. Letter No. 2579—C.S.A., dated the 2nd September 1952, from the Controller, State accounts Department, furnishing his views on the proposals.

4. Correspondence ending with U.O. Note No. 178—52-53—P.S.C., dated the 23rd December 1952, from the Secretary, Public Service Commission, specifying, among other things, the conditions to be followed for recruitment and promotion in the new Treasury Cadre.

ORDER NO. FL. (R) 4684-4704—B. & T. 35-53-4, DATED BANGALORE,
THE 21ST NOVEMBER 1953.

The working of the treasuries has come in for criticism in recent years and the question of ensuring a reasonable standard of efficiency in their working has been engaging the attention of Government for some time past. The manner in which the treasuries function has a vital bearing on the work of the several Government Departments of the State as well as of the Centre functioning in the State, inasmuch as receipts and disbursements relating to them have largely to pass through the treasuries and be accounted for by them. Owing to various reasons, however, the efficiency of treasuries has been at a low ebb in recent years and this has led to considerable inconvenience to the public and delay in the despatch of public business.

2. There has been a considerable increase of work devolving on treasuries since 1950, mainly on account of Federal Financial Integration and of the rising tempo of Governmental activities under the Five-Year Plan, etc. Further, after the appointment of the Reserve Bank of India as Banker to the Government, the